

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,518	04/20/2004	Masahiro Kato	054791-5025	2756
55694 7590 03/23/2007 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			. EXAMINER	
			HINDI, NABIL Z	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	,	2627	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MC	ONTHS	03/23/2007	PAF	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/827,518	KATO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		NABIL Z. HINDI	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status		•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ma</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ፟⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment		_		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	

Art Unit: 2627

In response to applicant's amendment dated March 08, 2007. the following action is taken:

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's amendment adding the limitation "digital" is rejected as new matter as shown in fig 2 element S12 and fig 4 element 180. The added signal is an analog signal and not a digital signal as claimed.

The adding of the limitation "digital" signal as opposed to an analog signal does not place the claims in a condition for allowance. The limitation digital signal is merely an alternative equivalence signal form to an analog signal. The specification does not disclose any criticality in the use of a digital signal as opposed to an analog signal except for what is known in the art of the characteristics of each signal.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,518

Art Unit: 2627

Claims 1 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Asada et al (7038989).

The reference shows an optical disk recording apparatus having a laser source control comprising: a light source 4, a recording pulse signal 12, WR, and NRZ, a high frequency generator based on the write signal 13-1, and 15-1 and adding means for adding the high frequency 16. However the reference does not disclose the use of a digital signal. The use of a digital signal is merely an alternative equivalence to an analog signal for the well established advantages of using a digital signal as opposed to an analog signal. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use digital signal in order to eliminate any discrepancies (noise) and thus enhance the system performance by digitizing the signals as opposed to an analog signal. In addition the specification does not disclose any criticality of the use of either signal.

With respect to the limitations of claims 10-12 see fig 5.

Applicant's arguments filed March 08, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's addition of the limitation "digital" would not place the claims in better condition for allowance. The use of a digital signal for it well established characteristics would enhance the system performance . in addition no criticality is disclosed in the specification. The reference in fig 5 discloses the use of a digital input signal and using a D/A converter within the HPF to output an analog signal that is

Application/Control Number: 10/827,518

Art Unit: 2627

Page 4

added. Applicant's specification discloses a similar operation in figs 2 and 4 wherein the added signal as actually an analog signals and not digital as claimed. The signal S12 in fig 2 and element 180 in fig 4 as converted into an analog signal prior to being added.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z. HINDI at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

RIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 5500 7